

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,042	04/05/2001	Norman S. Martucci	0153.00075	4862	
75	90 12/05/2001				
Amy E. Rinaldo			EXAMINER		
KOHN & ASSOCIATES			HOOK, JAMES F		
Suite 410 30500 Northwestern Highway					
Farmington Hills, MI 48334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752	3752	
		DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/827.042

Applicant(s)

Martucci et al.

Examiner

James F. Hook

Art Unit **3752**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____ 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) 💢 Claim(s) 1-18 ___ 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims Application Papers 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ______4 20) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/827,042

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for the terms "said inner layer" or "said inner and outer layers" when only a first layer was positively recited in the claim thereby rendering the claim indefinite where the scope of the claim cannot be clearly determined when it is not clear how many layers exist. In claim 6, there is no antecedent basis for the term "said polymeric material of said jacket" prior to it's use in the claim thereby rendering the claim indefinite where the scope of the claim cannot be determined when it is not clear what material the jacket is made from, and also the inclusion of the second "polymeric material" is indefinite since it was already stated previously in the claim that the material may be polymeric material, where there is no further limitation set forth by restating the layer is made of polymeric material. In claim 8, which depends from claim 6 there is no antecedent basis for the term "said polyamide material" prior to it's use in the claim thereby rendering the claim indefinite where the scope of the claim cannot be determined when it is not clear what material the layer is made from.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the coupling of claim 15 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,042

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for the terms "said inner layer" or "said inner and outer layers" when only a first layer was positively recited in the claim thereby rendering the claim indefinite where the scope of the claim cannot be clearly determined when it is not clear how many layers exist. In claim 6, there is no antecedent basis for the term "said polymeric material of said jacket" prior to it's use in the claim thereby rendering the claim indefinite where the scope of the claim cannot be determined when it is not clear what material the jacket is made from, and also the inclusion of the second "polymeric material" is indefinite since it was already stated previously in the claim that the material may be polymeric material, where there is no further limitation set forth by restating the layer is made of polymeric material. In claim 8, which depends from claim 6 there is no antecedent basis for the term "said polyamide material" prior to it's use in the claim thereby rendering the claim indefinite where the scope of the claim cannot be determined when it is not clear what material the layer is made from.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the coupling of claim 15 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,042 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by King (834). The patent to King discloses the recited hose assembly comprising a tubular first layer 12 made of a polymeric material resistant to chemical and heat degradation, which can be provided with carbon black 16 to dissipate electrical charge, a jacket layer 11 disposed about the inner layer, and at least one aramid fiber braided layer 13 disposed between the inner and jacket layers, where glass fibers also can be used in combination with the aramid fibers, where the inner and jacket layers can be formed of a fluorocarbon material such as PTFE, and a coupling means 30 can be provided on the hose ends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/827,042

Art Unit: 3752

- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King (834) in view of Nie. The patent to King discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the polymeric first layer of a polyketone. The patent to Nie discloses that inner tube layers 14 can be formed of polyketones when desired to meet the environmental needs of the hose to resist permeation of specific materials to be carried by the hose. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the inner layer in King to be formed of a polyketone material to meet environmental needs of the user, as polyketones have specific uses for materials being carried by the hose as suggested by Nie.
- 6. Claims 8, 9, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King (834) in view of Martucci (527). The patent to King discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of extruding the jacket layer and forming it of polyamide. The patent to Martucci discloses the recited hose assembly comprising an inner layer 12 provided with a carbon black strip 16, a reinforcement woven layer 26, where a jacket layer 14 can be extruded over the reinforcement and inner layers, and can be formed of polyamides including nylon 6 and others. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the jacket layer in King to be formed by extruding as such is a known method of putting a jacket layer on a tube which is easier to use to achieve a multilayer tube, and to form the outer layer of polyamides such as nylon 6 as such is a known material that is resistant to abrasion and damage as suggested by Martucci.
- 7. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King (834) in view of Martucci (084). The patent to King discloses all of the recited structure with the

Application/Control Number: 09/827,042 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

exception of forming the inner layer of expanded fluoropolymers. The patent to Martucci discloses the recited hose assembly comprising an inner layer 116 which can be formed of expanded or foamed fluoropolymers such as PTFE, where reinforcements 121 are provided over the foamed layer, and end couplings 130 are also provided. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the inner layer of King by forming the layer of a foamed material as such is known in the art to form the inner layer of a foamed fluoropolymer to allow for easier attachment of couplings at the end as suggested by Martucci.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King (834) in view of Kutnyak. The patent to King discloses all of the recited method of forming the hose assembly with the exception of dipping the tube in an adhesive before putting the reinforcement aramid layer on the inner tube. The patent to Kutnyak discloses the recited method of forming a hose assembly comprising providing an inner layer 12, and dipping the layer in an adhesive before applying a reinforcement layer 13 over the inner layer to adhere the reinforcement to the inner tube. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of forming the tube in King by providing a step of dipping the inner tube in adhesive before applying the reinforcement layer to provide better connection of the reinforcement to the inner layer to prevent delamination as suggested by Kutnyak.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,042

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Matthews, Shaw, Anderson, Blachman, Martucci (011 and 524), Marena, and Kleinert disclosing state of the art reinforced hoses.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Hook whose telephone number is (703) 308-2913.

J. Hook December 3, 2001 James F. Hook
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3752